

A Call for a New NSC-68 and Goldwater Nichols Reform

Dr. Richard B. Andres
With Gen (Ret) T Michael Moseley and
Maj Gen (Ret) Larry Stutzriem



The Problem – A Crisis in U.S. Defense Strategy

- The U.S. faces its **most dangerous moment** since it adopted NSC-68 in 1950.
- The current deterrence system is failing against China, Russia, and Iran.
- The U.S. military lacks the capability and capacity to uphold its security commitments.
- If deterrence collapses, a great power war could become inevitable.



"The threats the United States faces are the most serious and most challenging the nation has encountered since 1945....It is not prepared today."

-2024 Commission on the National Defense Strategy



Goldwater-Nichols Act-Root of the Problem

What Was GNA Intended to Do?

- Passed in 1986, GNA restructured the Department of Defense (DOD) to improve joint operations.
- It removed Service Chiefs from the chain of command and empowered regional Combatant Commanders.
- It created the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff (CJCS) as the primary military advisor but did not give the position operational authority.

• Unintended Consequences

- Service Chiefs lost authority over strategy and force development, weakening long-term planning.
- Combatant Commanders prioritize immediate operational needs, diverting resources from future warfighting readiness.
- The CJCS has advisory but not executive authority, reducing its ability to enforce a coherent defense strategy.



Systemic Dysfunction in the DOD

1. Bureaucratic Paralysis and Slow Modernization

- DOD procurement has become sluggish, with new systems taking decades instead of years.
- GNA expanded civilian oversight, leading to bureaucratic inefficiencies that delay weapons development.



- B-52H Stratofortress: Average age of 62 years.
- KC-135R Stratotanker: Average age of 62 years.
- T-38C Talon: Average age of 58 years.
- F-16C Fighting Falcon: Average age of 33 years.
- The overall fleet's average age is **29** years, with eight fleets exceeding **50** years





Systemic Dysfunction in the DOD

2. Prioritization of Short-Term Over Long-Term Threats

- Service Chiefs used to balance short-term and long-term needs—now they cannot.
- Combatant Commanders focus on today's fights, pushing resources toward regional crises instead of future deterrence.
- Example: Post-Cold War focus on counterinsurgency and nation-building diverted funding from great power competition (China/Russia).





Systemic Dysfunction in the DOD

3. Strategic Decision-Making Is Fragmented

- The CJCS lacks command authority, making defense strategy a consensus-driven process instead of a decisive one.
- Combatant Commanders advocate for their own regions, preventing a coherent global defense posture.
- Example: The 2018 National Defense Strategy aimed to shift focus to China, but combatant commands continued prioritizing non-peer conflicts.





Why Past Defense Reforms Failed

- 2015 Third Offset Strategy—Attempted to prioritize advanced technologies but failed due to bureaucracy.
- 2018 National Defense Strategy—Tried to reorient toward China but lacked funding and structural reform.
- One-War Force Model (Post-2018)—
 Assumed adversaries would not act simultaneously, but Russia, China, and Iran have exploited this flaw.
- The fundamental issue: DOD cannot change without structural reform of the GNA system.





The Current Security Crisis

- Multiple theaters of conflict strain U.S. forces:
 - Ukraine Russian war depletes U.S. military stockpiles.
 - Middle East Iran and proxies threaten U.S. interests.
 - China Escalating threats in Taiwan Strait and South China Sea.





Adversaries are Coordinating and Capitalizing on U.S. Weakness



Commitments Exceeding Capabilities

- Scenario 1: Global Erosion of U.S. Influence as We Repeatedly Back Down
 - Russia, China, and Iran continue aggressive expansion.
 - U.S. deterrence credibility collapses, emboldening further aggression.
 - Rules-based international order unravels, leading to global instability.
- Scenario 2: War with China
 - Taiwan invasion leads to direct U.S.-China conflict.
 - U.S. military unprepared for high-end war due to decades of neglect.
 - China has industrial and military advantages in a prolonged war.

These Challenges are Not Theoretical



Recommendation #1

Conduct a Comprehensive National Security Reassessment

- Initiate a new NSC-68-style review to realign defense strategy with modern threats.
- Recognize failures of deterrence and fix U.S. military imbalances.
- **Develop a whole-of-government approach** to address coordinated threats from China, Russia, and Iran.
- Ensure U.S. military commitments match available capabilities to prevent further deterrence failures.

Recognition of the Problem is Key



Recommendation #2

Restructure the Department of Defense (DOD)

- Reform or replace the Goldwater-Nichols Act to correct its structural failures.
- Restore Service Chiefs to the chain of command for strategic oversight.
- Reduce bureaucratic inefficiencies in the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD)
 to streamline decision-making.



Recommendation #3

Increase the Defense Budget to Align with Security Needs

- Expand defense spending from 3.6% to 5-6% of GDP to support deterrence and modernization.
- Transition from one-war to a two-war force construct to prevent strategic overreach.
- Direct increased spending toward:
 - Expanding military capacity to counter China and Russia.
 - Modernizing aging platforms to restore technological advantages.
 - Strengthening nuclear, space, and cyber capabilities.

Defense Budgets Must Match the Threat



Shift Investment Toward Cost-Effective Warfighting Capabilities

- Implement a cost-per-effect analysis to guide military spending and procurement.
- Avoid legacy-driven funding and prioritize capabilities that enhance deterrence.
- Conduct a Roles and Missions Review (like 1995-96 CORM) to determine the most effective service investments.
- Redirect resources from counterinsurgency to high-end warfare capabilities (naval, air, and space deterrence).

"Nobody has ever won a war by trying to run it on the cheap. Nothing is so expensive as losing a war by saving money."

-Sir Frederick Handley Page

- The window for action is closing—U.S. deterrence credibility is at risk.
- Without action, the U.S. faces either strategic defeat or a catastrophic war.
- Reform is not optional—it is essential for national security and global stability.