### **MITCHELL INSTITUTE** for Aerospace Studies



### The Need to Modernize the Air Breathing Leg of the Triad

Mark Gunzinger Director of Future Concepts & Capability Assessments



## Challenge: Most USAF triad systems were developed for Cold War-era threat environments





# Another challenge: the growing bomber inventory shortfall





### DOD Major Force Program-1 funding for nuclear forces

- FY62: \$104.6B in FY21 dollars, or about 20.5% of DoD's TOA
- FY62 to FY90: \$50.1B in FY21 dollars, averaged 9.3% of DoD's annual TOA
- FY92 to FY21: **\$14.2B** in FY21 dollars, averaged 2.3% of DoD's annual TOA





### Challenge: Advanced air defenses are increasingly capable against U.S. legacy aircraft <u>and</u> weapons





# Challenge: Must plan for target sets that will be very different than in the past



- Target sets will be much larger and more distributed than in the past
- Enemy high-value weapon systems are increasingly mobile or relocatable
- Other targets are hardened or very deeply buried
- Also covered by active and passive air and missile defenses



One reason penetrating bombers are needed: Non-stealth bomber stand-off ranges can affect targets they can attack



#### Potential targets not covered:

- Interior C2 nodes
- Ballistic missile sites, bomber bases
- Anti-satellite threats
- Military aerospace industry, etc.

#### Longer range weapons would help but...

- Increasing weapons range increases weapon size
- Larger weapons = fewer carried per sortie and greater unit costs
- Longer ranges also increases weapon flight times



Another reason for penetrators: Enemy countermeasures can reduce the effectiveness of long-range standoff strikes









**Ballistic missile TEL** 



Mobile HQ-9 SAM



- As a rule of thumb, standoff weapons can't carry conventional warheads big enough to penetrate and kill very hard/deeply buried targets
  - Kill chain latency can also reduce standoff weapon effectiveness against mobile/relocatable targets



## Hypersonic weapons are needed, but kill chain latency will still be a challenge for mobile/relocatable targets



Weapon Launch Distance (miles) from a Target









# Long Range Standoff weapon (LRSO) critical to maintaining an effective airborne leg of the triad

- LRSOs will begin replacing AGM-86B ALCMs around 2030
  - ALCMs originally designed for a ten-year operational life
- LRSOs are designed to penetrate advanced IADS, operate in GPS denied environments, and hold high-value targets at risk from significant standoff ranges
- <u>Not</u> a redundant capability



- Cruise missiles enable long-range attacks from multiple azimuths, complicating an enemy's defensive challenges
- The LRSO will ensure B-52Hs remain a viable part of the triad throughout the bomber's projected service life
- LRSOs will give B-21s the ability to strike without overflying targets



### Cruise missiles are not "destabilizing"

- There is little evidence that cruise missiles were destabilizing during the Cold War
- Bombers with cruise missiles and gravity weapons may be the *most* stabilizing element of the triad
  - Visible means to send signals in crises; for instance, can generate bombers to alert status, disperse the force to other locations
  - Bombers have longer flight times relative to ballistic missiles and can be recalled after launch
  - Cruise missiles can be withheld or retargeted
- China's and Russia's acquisition of modern, dualcapable air-launched cruise missiles suggest they may not share this concern







### www.mitchellaerospacepower.org